

# Sublocales of the frame of fitted sublocales

Anna Laura Suarez

Joint work with Tomáš Jakl

## 1 Sublocales of the frame of strongly exact filters

When we see a frame  $L$  as a pointfree space its *sublocales* are its pointfree subspaces. Sublocales are certain subsets of  $L$ , and these form a coframe  $\mathbf{S}(L)$  when ordered under set inclusion. We have open sublocales, closed sublocales, and fitted sublocales, which correspond, respectively, to open, closed, and saturated subsets of a space. For a frame  $L$  we have an order isomorphism between fitted sublocales and the so-called *strongly exact* filters (see [4]):

$$\mathbf{SEFilt}(L) \cong \mathbf{Fitt}(L)^{op}.$$

Both these posets are frames. I will show in this talk that the frame of strongly exact filters has some distinguished sublocales, which correspond to special subcolocales of  $\mathbf{Fitt}(L)$  because of the result above. We have that all the set inclusions in the diagram below (which are easily verifiable) are sublocale inclusions. Here  $\mathbf{MCFilt}(L)$  is the frame of meets of *closed* filters, that is, filters of the form  $\{x \in L : x \vee a = 1\}$  for some  $a \in L$ . The frame  $\mathbf{EFilt}(L)$  is the frame of *exact* filters, a notion that appears in [4] and is in a certain sense dual to that of strongly exact filter. The frame  $\mathbf{MCPFilt}(L)$  is the frame of meets of completely prime filters, and the frame  $\mathbf{MSOFilt}(L)$  is the frame of meets of Scott-open filters.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{MCFilt}(L) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{EFilt}(L) & & \\
 & & \searrow \subseteq & & \\
 & & & \mathbf{SEFilt}(L) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{Filt}(L) \\
 & & \nearrow \subseteq & & \\
 \mathbf{MCPFilt}(L) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \mathbf{MSOFilt}(L) & & 
 \end{array}$$

This diagram corresponds to the following diagram of subcolocale inclusions. Here  $\mathbf{S}_c(L)$  is the coframe of joins of closed sublocales (see [5]);  $\mathbf{S}_b(L)$  is the coframe of joins of complemented sublocales (whose structure has been recently studied in [1]).  $\mathbf{S}_k(L)$  is the coframe of joins of compact sublocales, and  $\mathbf{sp}[\mathbf{S}(L)]$  is the coframe of spatial sublocales. Here  $fitt : \mathbf{S}(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(L)$  denotes the *fitting* operator

(see [2]), which is the closure coming from  $\text{Fitt}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(L)$  seen as a closure system.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{fit}[\mathcal{S}_c(L)] & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \text{fit}[\mathcal{S}_b(L)] \\
 & & \searrow \subseteq \\
 & & \text{Fitt}(L) \\
 & \nearrow \subseteq & \\
 \text{fit}[\text{sp}[\mathcal{S}(L)]] & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \text{fit}[\mathcal{S}_k(L)]
 \end{array}$$

## 2 Polarities: comparing the closure systems $\text{Cl}(L)$ and $\text{Fitt}(L)$

Polarities have been recently explored in [3]. A *polarity* is a complete lattice  $\text{Pol}(X, Y, R)$  such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets and  $R \subseteq X \times Y$  is a relation, and such that we have two canonical maps  $f_X : X \rightarrow \text{Pol}(X, Y, R)$  and  $f_Y : Y \rightarrow \text{Pol}(X, Y, R)$  satisfying a certain universal property. The sublocales of  $\text{Fitt}(L)$  above are all instances of polarities. In general, in fact, we have that for a collection  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(L)$  of sublocales

$$\text{Pol}(\mathcal{S}, \text{Fitt}(L), \subseteq) = \text{fitt}[\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{S})],$$

where  $\mathfrak{J}$  denotes closure under all joins. Therefore the theory of polarities helps us see that the sublocale inclusions above all have universal properties. The theory of polarities also helps us see a symmetry between fitted and closed sublocales. Here for a frame  $L$  the expression  $\mathfrak{B}(L)$  denotes the Booleanization of  $L$ . For a frame  $L$  we have:

1.  $\text{Pol}(\text{Cl}(L), \text{Op}(L), \subseteq) \cong \text{fit}[\mathcal{S}_c(L)] = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Fitt}(L))$ ;
2.  $\text{Pol}(\text{Op}(L), \text{Cl}(L), \subseteq) \cong \text{cl}[\text{Op}(L)] = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cl}(L)) \cong \mathfrak{B}(L)$ .

The closure system  $\text{Fitt}(L)$  has been recently explored and compared with  $\text{Cl}(L)$  in [2]. Since this is work in progress, in the end of the talk I will outline some open questions, especially related to how much more interaction we can find between the theory of polarities and the closure systems within  $\mathcal{S}(L)$ .

## References

- [1] ARRIETA, I. On joins of complemented sublocales. *Algebra Universalis* 83 (1 2020).
- [2] CLEMENTINO, M., PICADO, J., AND PULTR, A. The Other Closure and Complete Sublocales. *Applied Categorical Structures* 26 (02 2018).
- [3] JAKL, T. Canonical extensions of locally compact frames, 2019.
- [4] MOSHIER, M. A., PULTR, A., AND SUAREZ, A. L. Exact and strongly exact filters, 2020. to appear in *Applied Categorical Structures*.
- [5] PICADO, J., PULTR, A., AND TOZZI, A. Joins of closed sublocales. *Houston Journal of Mathematics* 45 (01 2019), 21–38.